

Message

From: ZIFF, SARA [ZIFF.SARA@EPA.GOV]
Sent: 2/16/2016 9:19:43 PM
To: Wilson, Patrick [Wilson.Patrick@epa.gov]; Armann, Steve [Armann.Steve@epa.gov]
Subject: FW: Ag Park
Attachments: Appxl.PDF; Residential Sampling_Geomatrix RI Report 2006.pdf

From: ZIFF, SARA
Sent: Tuesday, February 16, 2016 1:18 PM
To: 'Galaviz, Vanessa@EPA' <Vanessa.Galaviz@calepa.ca.gov>
Subject: RE: Ag Park

Hi Vanessa,

Thank you for sending the 2006 report. I'll discuss your questions about dust monitoring with our team and we'll get back in touch soon.

Best regards,

Sara

^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
Sara Ziff, P.E.
Project Manager
Corrective Action Section
U.S. EPA, Region 9
75 Hawthorne Street (LND-4-1)
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 972-3536
ziff.sara@epa.gov

From: Galaviz, Vanessa@EPA [<mailto:Vanessa.Galaviz@calepa.ca.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 16, 2016 1:12 PM
To: ZIFF, SARA <ZIFF.SARA@EPA.GOV>
Subject: Ag Park

Hi Sara:

Thank you for speaking with me on the phone.

Attached is the report released by Geomatrix Consultants in 2006. This work was done prior to DTSC's involvement. On page 18, they calculate a PCB Perimeter Dust Action Level. Considering PCBs are persistent and listed on Prop 65 wouldn't it have been the better option to calculate a chronic dust action level which would mean not multiplying by 60? In this situation, what would be the best way to go about calculating a PCB Perimeter Dust Action Level? The dust monitoring results are attached.

Thank you again,

Vanessa E. Galaviz, PhD, MPH
Associate Public Health Scientist
Office of the Secretary

California Environmental Protection Agency
1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814
25th floor, Office #25-16
☎: (916) 324-9682 | 📠: (916) 552-4921

📧 Please subscribe to CalEPA's environmental justice listerv – <http://tinyurl.com/calepaej>